İ	
1	RECEIVED
2	10 JUL -6 PM 2:19
3	HEARINGS CLERK EPA REGION 10
4	CIA VERION 10
5	
6	BEFORE THE .
7	UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
8	
9	In the Matter of:  ) DOCKET NO. CWA 10-2010-0132
10	DAVID D'AMATO, ) COMPLAINT
11	Anchorage, Alaska,
12	Respondent.
13	I ATTHODITIES
14	I. <u>AUTHORITIES</u>
15	1.1 This administrative complaint ("Complaint") is issued under the authority vested
16	in the Administrator of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA" or "Complainant") by
17	Section 309 of the Clean Water Act ("CWA" or "Act"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319. The Administrator
18	has delegated this authority to the Regional Administrator of EPA Region 10 who, in turn, has
19	redelegated this authority to the Director of the Office of Ecosystems, Tribal and Public Affairs
20	in Region 10.
21	1.2 Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), and in
22	accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment
23	of Civil Penalties," 40 C.F.R. Part 22 ("Part 22 Rules"), Complainant hereby seeks to assess a
24	
25	
	COMPLAINT - 1 DOCKET NO. CWA 10-2010-0132 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-8183

civil penalty against David D'Amato ("Respondent") for violations of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1251 et seq.

1.3 In accordance with Section 309(g)(1) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.38(b), EPA shall provide the State of Alaska an opportunity to consult within thirty (30) days following proof of service of this Complaint on Respondent.

# II. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits the "discharge of any pollutant by any person" except in compliance with, *inter alia*, a permit issued pursuant to Section 404 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1344.
- 2.2 Section 404(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1344(a), authorizes the Secretary of the Army to issue permits for the discharge of dredged or fill material into navigable waters at specified disposal sites.
- 2.3 Section 502(12) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(12), defines the term "discharge of a pollutant" as "any addition of any pollutant to navigable waters from any point source."
- 2.4 Section 502(6) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6), defines "pollutant" to include, inter alia, dredged spoil, rock, sand, and biological materials.
- 2.5 Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7), defines "navigable waters" as "waters of the United States."
- 2.6 33 C.F.R. § 328.3(a)(1), (2), (5) and (7), and 40 C.F.R. § 232.2, define "waters of the United States" to include, *inter alia*: (i) all waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce; (ii) tributaries to these waters; and (iii) wetlands adjacent to these waters or their tributaries.

- 2.7 33 C.F.R. § 328.3(b) and 40 C.F.R. §§ 122.2 and 232.2 define "wetlands" as "those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions."
- 2.8 33 C.F.R. § 328.3(c) defines "adjacent" as "bordering, contiguous, or neighboring."
- 2.9 Section 502(14) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14), defines "point source" to include "any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance ... from which pollutants are or may be discharged."
- 2.10 Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), defines "person" as "an individual, corporation, partnership, association, State, municipality, commission, or political subdivision of a State, or any interstate body."
- 2.11 Section 309(g) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g), provides, in pertinent part, "[w]henever on the basis of any information available . . . the Administrator finds that any person has violated Section 301 . . . of this Act . . . the Administrator . . . may . . . assess a . . . class II civil penalty . . . ."

## III. ALLEGATIONS

- 3.1 Paragraphs 1.1 through 2.11 are re-alleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.2 Respondent owns, possesses, and/or controls, or, at the time of the circumstances and activities alleged in paragraphs 3.3 through 3.32, did own, possess and/or control, approximately 29.0 acres of real property in Anchorage, Alaska. This property is described as Tract 2 and Lot 3 of Hunter Heights Subdivision, and is located at the southeast corner of Kings

Way Drive and Paine Road, in Anchorage, Alaska, within Section 7, Township 11 North, Range 2 West, Seward Meridian. This property, and areas disturbed by Respondent immediately to the south, east, and north of his property, are hereinafter referred to as "the Site."

- 3.3 The Site contains at least two acres of wetlands that are adjacent to and hydrologically connected, via surface and shallow groundwater flow, to at least nine unnamed streams and several more tributaries of those streams. The unnamed streams are tributaries of Little Rabbit Creek. Little Rabbit Creek flows into Potter Marsh, a water subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Potter Marsh drains into Rabbit Creek, which flows into the Turnagain Arm of Cook Inlet. Cook Inlet, which is subject to the ebb and flow of the tide, is used in interstate and foreign commerce.
- 3.4 The streams and wetlands described in Paragraph 3.3 are "waters of the United States" within the meaning of 33 C.F.R. § 328.3(a) and 40 C.F.R. § 232.2, and are therefore "navigable waters" within the meaning of Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7).
- 3.5 Respondent is a person within the meaning of Section 502(5) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).
- 3.6 Between September 2005 and July 2008, at times more fully known to the Respondent and/or persons acting on his behalf, Respondent discharged dredged or fill material into waters of the United States at the Site without a permit issued pursuant to Section 404 of the Act.
- 3.7 The dredged or fill material that the Respondent and/or persons acting on his behalf, caused to be discharged included, among other things, excavated material, dredged spoil,

sand, dirt and rock, which constitute "pollutants" as defined in CWA Section 502(6), 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6).

- 3.8 Respondent and/or persons acting on his behalf used mechanized earth-moving equipment (an excavator) to excavate native materials from, and discharge those materials into, streams and wetlands, which resulted in the discharges described in Paragraphs 3.6 and 3.7. This equipment constitutes "point sources" as defined in CWA Section 502(14), 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 3.9 Respondent did not obtain a permit from the Secretary of the Army for the discharges of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States as required by Sections 301(a) and 404 of the Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1311(a), 1344.
- 3.10 Respondent has violated and continues to violate Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), by his unauthorized discharges of pollutants (including dredged spoil, sand, dirt, rock and/or other fill material) into waters of the United States, including wetlands, at the Site.
- 3.11 Each day that such material remains in place constitutes a separate violation of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).

#### Count 1

# Discharges of dredged material into unnamed streams and adjacent wetlands in the southeastern area of the Site

- 3.12 Paragraphs 1.1 through 3.11 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.13 In September and/or October 2005, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, used earthmoving equipment to clear, dredge, and grade approximately 700 linear feet of a previously existing, south-north flowing, stream diversion channel ("southeastern

COMPLAINT - 5 DOCKET NO. CWA 10-2010-0132 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-8183

stream diversion channel"), along the southern east property line of the Site (Tract 2). This stream flows generally northward to connect to another unnamed stream that flows generally westward and empties into Little Rabbit Creek on the west side of the Site. The dredging widened and deepened the southeastern stream diversion channel.

- 3.14 In September and/or October 2005, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, used earthmoving equipment to clear, dredge, and discharge dredged spoil in approximately 0.06 acre of wetlands adjacent to the southeastern stream diversion channel, and an unnamed stream, in the southeastern area of the Site.
- 3.15 Between October 2005 and June 2006, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, discharged rock and other excavated materials to an unnamed east-west flowing stream, diverting that flow into the southeastern stream diversion channel.
- 3.16 Between June 2006 and July 2008, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, dredged the rock and other materials from the unnamed east-west stream and discharged the dredged spoil into the southeastern stream diversion channel, diverting that stream into the east-west channel again.
- 3.17 Between June 2006 and July 2008, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, discharged rock into 19 unnamed tributaries of the southeastern stream diversion channel, along the southern east property line of the Site (Tract 2). These tributaries flow generally westward and empty, via the southeastern stream diversion channel, into Little Rabbit Creek on the west side of the Site.

- 3.18 Between June 2006 and July 2008, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, discharged wood chips and rock (a "silt sock") into the southeastern stream diversion channel along the eastern side of the Site.
- 3.19 Discharges into the streams and wetlands in the southeastern area of the Site constitute a violation of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). In accordance with Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for civil penalties not to exceed \$16,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues.

### Count 2

Discharges of dredged and fill material into unnamed streams and adjacent wetlands in the northeastern area of the Site

- 3.20 Paragraphs 1.1 through 3.11 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.21 In September and/or October 2005, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, used earthmoving equipment to clear, dredge wetlands, and discharge dredged spoil in approximately 0.03 acre of wetlands adjacent to an unnamed stream along the northern east property line of the Site (Tract 2).
- 3.22 In September and/or October 2005, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, used earthmoving equipment to clear, dredge and grade approximately 400 linear feet of a previously existing stream diversion channel ("northeastern stream diversion channel") along the northern east property line of the Site (Tract 2), and to discharge the dredged spoil into approximately 0.4 acre of adjacent wetlands. The northeastern stream diversion channel flows generally northward to connect to another unnamed stream that flows generally northwestward

and empties into Little Rabbit Creek to the northwest of the Site. The dredging widened, deepened, and lengthened the northeastern stream diversion channel.

- 3.23 Between June 2006 and July 2008, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, discharged rock into 6 unnamed tributaries of the northeastern stream diversion channel along the northern east property line of the Site (Tract 2). These tributaries flow generally westward and empty, via the northeastern stream diversion channel, into Little Rabbit Creek to the northwest of the Site.
- 3.24 Between June 2006 and July 2008, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, discharged wood chips and rock (a "silt sock"), as well as additional rock, into the unnamed stream in the northeastern area of the site, downstream (north) of the northeastern stream diversion channel.
- 3.25 Discharges into streams and wetlands in the northeastern area of the Site constitute a violation of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). In accordance with Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for civil penalties not to exceed \$16,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues.

#### Count 3

Discharges of dredged material into adjacent wetlands in the northern area of the Site

- 3.26 Paragraphs 1.1 through 3.11 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.27 In September and/or October 2005, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, used earthmoving equipment to clear, grade, excavate, and discharge excavated material into approximately 0.16 acre of wetlands adjacent to an unnamed tributary of Little

Rabbit Creek to access other areas of the Site and to construct two south-north and one east-west wetland drainage ditches in the northern area of the Site. These ditches convey flow from the wetlands north to the Paine Road right-of-way, where flows are diverted west through constructed and incidental channels to Little Rabbit Creek northwest of the Site.

3.28 Discharges into streams and adjacent wetlands in the northern area of the Site constitute a violation of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). In accordance with Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for civil penalties not to exceed \$16,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues.

### Count 4

Discharges of dredged or fill material into unnamed streams and adjacent wetlands in the central area of the Site

- 3.29 Paragraphs 1.1 through 3.11 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.30 In September and/or October 2005, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, used earthmoving equipment to dredge approximately 200 linear feet of an unnamed stream and discharge the dredged spoil into 0.06 acre of adjacent wetlands in the central area of the Site (Lot 3 and Tract 2). This stream flows generally westward and empties into Little Rabbit Creek in the west part of the Site.
- 3.31 Between October 2005 and August 2008, Respondent, and/or persons acting on behalf of Respondent, used earthmoving equipment to excavate, place two culverts, and discharge dredged spoil into two unnamed streams in the central area of the Site (Tract 2). The streams flow generally westward and empty into Little Rabbit Creek in the west-central area of the Site.

COMPLAINT - 9 DOCKET NO. CWA 10-2010-0132

3.32 Discharges into streams and adjacent wetlands in the central area of the Site constitute a violation of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). In accordance with Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for civil penalties not to exceed \$16,000 per day for each day during which the violation continues.

# IV. PENALTY

- 4.1. Based on the foregoing allegations, Respondent violated Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). Consequently, pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the Act, and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondent is liable for the administrative assessment of civil penalties in an amount not to exceed \$16,000 per violation for each day during which the violation continues, up to a maximum of \$177,500.
- 4.2. Respondent has been in continuous and repeated violation of Section 301(a) of the Act since at least September of 2005.
- 4.3. In accordance with Section 22.14 of the Part 22 Rules, 40 C.F.R. § 22.14(a)(4)(ii), Complainant proposes that a Final Order be issued to the Respondent assessing administrative penalties in an amount not to exceed \$16,000 per violation for each day during which the violation continues, up to a maximum of \$177,500, taking into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations, and, with respect to the violator, ability to pay, any prior history of such violations, the degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings (if any) resulting from the violations, and such other matters as justice may require. CWA Section 309(g)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3).

Nature, Circumstances, Extent and Gravity of Violations: Respondent's 4.3.1 violations of Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), are serious violations that significantly undermine the Act's regulatory scheme. In addition, Complainant has determined that the alleged violations have the potential to harm human health and the environment. Respondent excavated over 1300 linear feet of stream channel and filled approximately 0.7 acre of channel and adjacent wetlands. These unauthorized activities released sediments into Little Rabbit Creek, an anadromous fish stream, which created noticeable turbidity in Potter Marsh, a large wetland that is highly valuable for waterbird nesting and recreation/tourism, and through which the salmonid populations of two other streams—Rabbit and Little Survival Creeks—also migrate. Turbidity and sedimentation in anadromous fish streams and waterbird habitat smother eggs and aquatic macroinvertebrates (which are important food sources), interfere with feeding for fish and wildlife, and viewing by human visitors by reducing visibility, and can cause direct injury such as gill abrasion in fish. The channel instability resulting from the unauthorized activities continues to cause erosion of stream beds and banks, leading to further releases of sediment into the system, with the resulting pulses of increased turbidity. Enlarging the channels along the east side of the property has also led to increased seepage and drainage from the wetlands that slope down to those channels from the east, as well as the wetlands on the west side of the northern extension of the channel. The unauthorized activities also caused or contributed to local glaciation of public roadways and driveways, causing safety hazards and potentially decreasing property values.

- 4.3.2 <u>Respondent's Ability to Pay</u>: Complainant has no information indicating that Respondent is unable to pay a penalty up to the statutory maximum penalty for these violations. Complainant will consider any information submitted by Respondent related to its ability to pay a penalty.
- 4.3.3 <u>Respondent's History of Prior Violations</u>: Complainant is unaware of Respondent having any history of prior violations of the Act.
- 4.3.4 Respondent's Degree of Culpability: Respondent is presumed to know the law. The United States Army Corps of Engineers included Respondent on its mailing list for a February 2005 Public Notice announcing reissuance of its Anchorage General Permits. The mailing included a cover letter advising of the possibility that previously unmapped wetlands existed on Respondent's property. The Corps of Engineers also issued Respondent a Notice of Violation on October 21, 2005, for several of the violations alleged in paragraphs 3.12 through 3.32, and ordered initial corrective actions, which Respondent failed to implement effectively. Finally, on May 24, 2007, EPA issued a Compliance Order to Respondent to restore the site, but, to date, Respondent has not complied with that Order. Several of the violations alleged in paragraphs 3.12 through 3.32 occurred after Respondent received the May 2007 Compliance Order.
- 4.3.5 <u>Respondent's Economic Benefit</u>: Complainant is unaware of Respondent having derived any economic benefit from his violations of the Act.
- 4.3.6 Other Matters as Justice May Require: Credible and consistent enforcement of the Act's requirements to comply with Section 301(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a) and the permitting scheme set forth in Section 404 of the Act, 33 U.S.C.

§ 1344, is necessary to deter this Respondent and others similarly situated from violating the Act.

# V. <u>OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING</u>

- 5.1. Respondent has the right to file an Answer requesting a hearing on any material fact contained in this Complaint or on the appropriateness of any proposed penalty. Upon request, the Presiding Office may hold a hearing for the assessment of the civil penalties conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Part 22 Rules (40 C.F.R. Part 22) and the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 et seq. A copy of the Part 22 Rules accompanies this Complaint.
- 5.2. Respondent's Answer, including any request for hearing, must be in writing and must be filed with:

Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue,
Suite 900 (Mail Stop ORC-158)
Seattle, Washington 98101

# VI. <u>FAILURE TO FILE AN ANSWER</u>

- 6.1 To avoid a default order being entered pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17, Respondent must file a written Answer to this Complaint with the Regional Hearing Clerk within thirty (30) days after service of this Complaint.
- 6.2 In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.15, Respondent's Answer must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in this Complaint with regard to which Respondent has any knowledge. Respondent's Answer must also state: (1) the

COMPLAINT - 13 DOCKET NO. CWA 10-2010-0132 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-8183

circumstances or arguments which are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense; (2) the facts which Respondents intend to place at issue; and (3) whether a hearing is requested. Failure to admit, deny, or explain any material factual allegation contained herein constitutes an admission of the allegation.

### VII. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

7.1. Whether or not Respondent requests a hearing, Respondent may request an informal settlement conference to discuss the facts of this case, the proposed penalty, and the possibility of settling this matter. To request such a settlement conference, Respondent should contact:

Jessica A. Barkas
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue
Suite 900 (Mailstop ORC-158)
Seattle, Washington 98101
(206) 553-8183

- 7.2. Note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the thirty (30) day period for filing a written Answer to this Complaint, nor does it waive Respondents' right to request a hearing.
- 7.3. Respondent is advised that, after the Complaint is issued, the Part 22 Rules prohibit any *ex parte* (unilateral) discussion of the merits of these or any other factually related proceedings with the Administrator, the Environmental Appeals Board or its members, the Regional Judicial Officer, the Presiding Officer, or any other person who is likely to advise these officials in the decision on this case.

# VIII. RESERVATIONS

8.1. Neither assessment nor payment of an administrative civil penalty pursuant to this Complaint shall affect Respondent's continuing obligations to comply with: (1) the CWA and all other environmental statutes; (2) the terms and conditions of all applicable permits issued under the Act; and (3) any Compliance Order issued to Respondent under Section 309(a) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(a), concerning violations alleged herein.

Dated this  $6^{+h}$  day of July, 2010.

RICHARD B. PARKIN, Acting Director
Office of Ecosystems, Tribal and Public Affairs

COMPLAINT - 15

DOCKET NO. CWA 10-2010-0132

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-8183

# 1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I certify that the foregoing "Complaint" was filed and sent to the following person, in the 3 manner specified, on the date below: 4 Original and one copy, hand-delivered: 5 Carol Kennedy, Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 6 1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, WA 98101 7 8 A true and correct copy, by certified mail, return receipt requested: 9 David D'Amato 10 17211 Kings Way Drive Anchorage, AK 99516 11 12 Dated: 13 14 U.S. EPA Region 10 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25